

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/929,852	08/14/2001	William H. Hildebrand	6680.025	9815	
30589	7590 04/29/2005		EXAMINER		
DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS P.C.			VANDERVEGT, FRANCOIS P		
PO BOX 16370 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	1644			

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	,						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/929,852	HILDEBRAND, WILLIAM H.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644	

	F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644	E			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API		·				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the 	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must lead to the company of the compa	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 5-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta-	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The specification or claims as originally filed do not disclose transforming host cells with only the heavy chain of MHC class I for recombination with native beta-2-microglobulin or loading with endogenously produced peptides.

Jaine Ce Saunders DAVID SAUNDERS

ART UNIT 182-/64